

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

90

1 I had to be sure that we retained any files that needed to be
2 restored to the network, any user files, documents users created
3 in the course of their work that they would need to resume their
4 work once the network was reinstalled. A lot of work involved.
5 I could describe the process, but it took me -- I worked at it on
6 and off for a week and perhaps more.

7 I had to -- we kept all our email on the user's machines and
8 I had to get all that. And that also included any attachments to
9 email which is kept in their local internet directory. I felt
10 like I needed to go through those because we had lost files when
11 we did not retain those internet caches before, so I went through
12 all those files. It would be hundreds for each user. And they
13 had user files in the server and I had to merge those -- get them
14 all into a single cache of all user files. And then ascertain
15 that none of Mr. Berry's software was among those. Lot of work.

16 Q Were you fully prepared by the time Mr. Gurseley arrived?

17 A Not fully, no.

18 Q And why is that, sir?

19 A We had just moved to the spreadsheets at that time. There
20 were constant fires I had to put out as well as prepare for Mr.
21 Gurseley.

22 Q Now, when Mr. Gurseley arrived, did you work directly with
23 him?

24 A Yes, I did.

25 Q And did you work with him during regular work hours or

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

91

1 during some other time?

2 A Mostly -- well, we worked over the Fourth of July weekend,
3 2003. We had to do most of our work in off hours.

4 Q Why was that?

5 A Because we were going to -- well, because we needed to take
6 over users machines and ultimately to remove all software.

7 Q While you and Mr. Gurseay was doing your work with the
8 overall system operational to other users?

9 A No.

10 Q Now, after you and Mr. Gurseay started working together with
11 respect to -- well, strike that, withdrawn. What is it that you
12 and Mr. Gurseay were trying to achieve?

13 A Well, ultimately, we wanted to make sure that Mr. Berry's
14 software was not on our network. The way we went about that was
15 to take an image of every drive, every hard drive in use on the
16 logistics network there. Then to wipe each of those hard drives.
17 For us to restall under his observation the software required to
18 resume operations and then to restore user files. Then to take a
19 final image of what was left on the network when he completed his
20 task. Any spare hard drives and media that we used for backup,
21 CDs or tapes, were given to him to keep in the custody of
22 Guidance Software.

23 Q Now, you indicated that Mr. Gurseay took an image of the
24 drives at the beginning of the process and then one at the end of
25 the process. What was the point of taking that second image?

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

92

1 A To keep a record of what was left on the network when Mr.
2 Gursey finished.

3 Q And what day was that second image taken, sir?

4 A I'm not sure. I think it might have been the 7th of July.

5 Q When did Mr. Gursey leave the facility?

6 A It was the last day of that holiday weekend. I'm not sure
7 whether -- that must have either been a Sunday or a Monday.

8 Q So it's fair to say sometime in the first week of July?
9 First 10 days in July?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q Now, you testified a minute ago that you restored some of
12 the software back on the system after Mr. Gursey wiped
13 everything. You said you did two things, you put on software and
14 then you put on user files. Let me direct you to the software
15 first. What do you mean when you say you put the software back
16 on the system?

17 A Off the shelf applications such as Microsoft Office, Crystal
18 Reports, Adobe Acrobat, those sort of files.

19 Q And when you restored that software, did you intend to
20 restore any of Mr. Berry's software onto the machines?

21 A No.

22 Q Now, when you say user files, it's kind of a technical term.
23 Tell the Court, please, what it is that you mean by user files.

24 A I mean any document created by users to -- as part of their
25 function within the department that they might need to refer to

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

93

1 again. They would be, for instance, Word documents, Excel
2 spreadsheets. Sometimes we had exported into Adobe Acrobat.

3 Q And approximately how many user files needed to be restored
4 to the system?

5 A Well, including my own, which includes, you know, extensive
6 professional documentation, there was over 20,000 files, I think.

7 Q And when you restored the user files back onto the system,
8 did you intend to restore any of Mr. Berry's files?

9 A No.

10 Q Or Mr. Berry's software?

11 A Absolutely not.

12 Q Now, how long did it take you and Mr. Gurse after you and
13 Mr. Gurse to complete the process that you've described?

14 A We worked over five days I recall, that weekend.

15 Q And were you working hard or not hard over that period of
16 time?

17 A It was -- well, we had an awful lot to do and I counted -- I
18 put in about 75 hours that weekend.

19 Q And why is it that you were under such a tight deadline?

20 A We had to have it all done by the time workers came in from
21 the holidays and sit down at their desks and get right into work.

22 Q Now as you sit here today, do you understand that Mr. Berry
23 alleges that there's 16 copies of a file called Fleming Logistics
24 MDB on the second image that Guidance took and part of which was
25 ultimately produced by Mr. Berry during discovery?

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

94

1 A Yes.

2 Q And do you know specifically how those files got there?

3 A No. I can only surmise that they were retained among the
4 users files. Those were my files, too. They were my files for
5 the -- of documentation for the previous lawsuit. Somehow that
6 I'd missed them and they were among the user files that were
7 retained on the network.

8 Q Did you ever intentionally put Mr. Berry's files back on the
9 system at anytime?

10 A No. The whole point of all that pain was to be sure that
11 his software was not on the network.

12 Q Now, when is it that you found out that Mr. Berry was
13 claiming that his files were still remaining on the system?

14 A It was in June of this year.

15 Q And since that time, have you gone back and looked for those
16 files?

17 A Yes, I did.

18 Q And tell us please what it is that you did in order to do
19 that?

20 A Well, for every computer, for every drive on every computer
21 on the network, I performed a search for any file beginning with
22 FCS and ending with the extension .MDB, which were the -- which
23 would discover any of Mr. Berry's files. And all the searches
24 came back negative.

25 Q When you say the searches came back negative, what do you

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

95

1 mean, sir?

2 A I mean, I did not find any of his files on the network.

3 Q Now, take a look at what's in your binder as Exhibit 302, if
4 you please. And 302 is a long document and it's part of -- it's
5 a declaration that was written by Wayne Berry and there's an
6 attachment on the end of it. On the very last page of 302, the
7 last two pages of 302 are all we're going to need. And Mr.
8 Dillon, I'm going to represent to you that Mr. Berry has told the
9 Court that those pages -- or at least the second to last page,
10 contains a list of the 16 separate files that he has identified
11 from the Guidance image that remain on the servers. You can see
12 on the right-hand part of the exhibit that there are -- a long
13 column describing what the file names are. Do you see that?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And does that include both path names and file names?

16 A Yes, it does.

17 Q And by looking at those path names, what can you tell us
18 about where those files were on the systems in Kapolei?

19 A They were on my computer. They were part of my professional
20 documentation. That is documents and material I keep to -- have
21 to do with my function with maintaining computers and software
22 there on the network.

23 Q How can you tell from the actual path names that these files
24 were on your computer, sir?

25 A From the director named fh1136. Actually, the directory

Dillon - Direct/Liebeler

96

1 name is lower case xfhl136. Fhl136 was the name of my computer.

2 Q Okay.

3 A At that time.

4 Q Now why is it that you would originally put these files in
5 your directory? For what purpose, sir?

6 A I'm sorry, which -- oh, these MBD files here?

7 Q Yes, sir.

8 A This was -- all these directories are used to keep
9 documentations for the previous lawsuit. There were collections
10 of documents that I felt were relevant to the lawsuit.

11 Q And when you say "the lawsuit", which lawsuit do you mean,
12 sir?

13 A This is the Berry v. Fleming Companies lawsuit that was sent
14 to the jury in March, maybe late February, of 2003.

15 Q And to what extent have you used these files after the end
16 of that trial, sir?

17 A We have not used them. They're not quite in a usable state.
18 They're -- most of the are -- do not have any data in them. But
19 we don't -- we have not used them.

20 MR. LIEBELER: No further questions, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Cross? Is it --

22 MR. HOGAN: Would you like me to go first, Your Honor?

23 THE COURT: Yeah. Does the Committee have any
24 questions?

25 MR. HERTZBERG: Not at this point, Your Honor.

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

97

1 THE COURT: All right. Cross.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. HOGAN:

4 Q Mr. Dillon, Timothy Hogan for Wayne Berry. Mr. Dillon, you
5 just testified that you were able to run a single wildcard search
6 for the MBD files and to look for these, isn't that correct? You
7 just testified to that?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Why didn't you and Mr. Gurseley do that the first time?

10 A I don't recall how we went -- how I went about removing
11 those -- any files of Mr. Berry. I think the reason I might have
12 missed these files is because they're in a place where I normally
13 do not keep software. They're in my documentation that I keep
14 for keeping records of how I troubleshot various problems, that
15 sort of thing. And I happened to keep my file documents there as
16 well. That might be why I missed them.

17 Q Well, let me ask you this, when Mr. Gurseley came in, how did
18 he know which ones were Wayne Berry's software and which ones
19 weren't? To your knowledge.

20 A Among the files we were to retain on the network?

21 Q No, I mean, I think you testified that Mr. Gurseley came into
22 town to come in and scrub off Wayne Berry's intellectual
23 property, is that a fair characterization, sir?

24 A Yes. With my cooperation.

25 Q With your cooperation. How is he to know which ones were

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

98

1 Wayne Berry's and which ones weren't?

2 A Well, I think it's my part to determine which were Wayne
3 Berry's and which ones were not. He was to simply take an image,
4 make sure there was, then wipe every drive and monitor my
5 reinstallation of the software. I was the one that was to go
6 through the files and make sure Mr. Berry's software did not
7 remain on the network.

8 Q To the best of your knowledge, sir, was Mr. Gurseay aware
9 that a jury had found that you had made infringing changes to Mr.
10 Berry's software in March of 2003?

11 A Okay. Would you repeat the question, please?

12 Q When Mr. Gurseay was there, to your knowledge, was he aware
13 that you had been found to have made infringing copies of
14 software in a federal court proceeding that a jury handed down a
15 verdict on March 6th, 2003?

16 A I don't recall --

17 MR. LAPOWSKY: Objection, good faith basis for the
18 question, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 A I don't recall my conversation with Mr. Gurseay about the
21 events leading up to his employment. I'm -- you know, I imagine
22 we may have discussed some things. I just don't recall what they
23 were.

24 Q Okay. Now are there other software files that were created
25 by Wayne Berry that exist on the Fleming Kapolei computers as we

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

99

1 sit here today? The C&S computers?

2 A None that I know.

3 Q And no Crystal reports programs that he originally authored?

4 A None that I know of.

5 Q And how do you know that?

6 A I simply went through all our user files and I had to open
7 each of the Crystal reports. This is one of the things that took
8 so long. And ascertained by the internal creation date when that
9 report was created. If it was created previous to Fleming, to
10 the transition of Fleming ownership of API assets, I assumed it
11 was created by Mr. Berry, all -- with the exception of a few
12 reports that I created. And deleted those from among the user
13 files.

14 Q At anytime, sir, prior to your creating the version of the
15 software, whatever you want to call it, that they're operating
16 now, was there ever a consideration that you might actually go
17 out and license the actual copy from Mr. Berry, to your
18 knowledge?

19 A That wasn't discussed with me.

20 Q Never?

21 A No.

22 MR. HOGAN: May I approach, Your Honor?

23 THE COURT: You may.

24 MR. HOGAN: I'd like this to be marked, if I may, Your
25 Honor, as Mr. Berry's 1.

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

100

1 THE COURT: You may. Can I have a copy?

2 MR. HOGAN: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 Q Take a look at that, Mr. Dillon.

5 (Pause)

6 A Is there anything in particular you want?

7 Q Have you had a chance to read it, sir?

8 A Well, the entire document?

9 Q Why don't you -- I'm going to ask you questions regarding
10 the whole document, but I'll start with the back page. I believe
11 it's an email that's served -- a thread, I think, is the term
12 that's used. First, I'm going to ask you a question, Mr. Dillon,
13 you recognize this email?

14 A Yes, I do now.

15 Q And was this an email that you turned over to me or to Mr.
16 Berry in discovery?

17 A I presume so.

18 Q You presume so. Do you recall that we discussed this in
19 your deposition, sir?

20 A No, I don't.

21 Q Are you able to identify this as a copy of an email from
22 your system?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. And does it appear unchanged from the time that it
25 was created and turned over to us in discovery?

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

101

1 A Would you repeat the question?

2 Q Does it appear to be altered in anyway, sir? Does it seem
3 to be a true and correct copy of your email?

4 A Yes, it appears to be true and correct.

5 MR. HOGAN: Your Honor, I guess I'll wait till
6 admission at the end.

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. HOGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 Q Go to the second page, Mr. Dillon, if you would. This page
10 purports to be an email from an individual with an email address
11 of ron.griffin@fleming.com. Do you see that, sir, about middle
12 of the page?

13 A Yes, I do.

14 Q Do you know who Ron Griffin is?

15 A No, I don't.

16 Q Have you ever know who Ron Griffin is?

17 A No, I haven't.

18 Q Okay. Do you recall getting this email?

19 A Vaguely, yes.

20 Q Okay. And in this email, sir, is it correct that it gives
21 four options to deal with Mr. Berry's software issues?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. Would you show me where in those options it says that
24 Mark Dillon should make a replacement copy?

25 A I don't see that.

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

102

1 Q Can you tell me, sir, why you chose to make a replacement
2 for Mr. Berry's software having just been found to have committed
3 infringement in the federal court proceeding?

4 MR. LIEBELER: Objection, foundation.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 Q Mr. Dillon, were you aware that the jury found that the
7 changes you made to Mr. Berry's system violated his copyright?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And were you aware that prior to the time that you made the
10 software that is presently running at C&S?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q At anytime, sir, did you consider that perhaps you shouldn't
13 be the one to make the replacement software?

14 A We made those changes under a misunderstanding between Mr.
15 Berry and Mr. Ralph Steecy (phonetic), the president of funding
16 companies, okay. And --

17 Q Excuse me. The question has to do with the changes you made
18 in May --

19 MR. LIEBELER: Your Honor, I ask Mr. Hogan not to
20 interrupt the witness.

21 THE COURT: Please --

22 MR. HOGAN: I --

23 THE WITNESS: I am trying to explain --

24 MR. HOGAN: And I'll object as nonresponsive, Your
25 Honor. The --

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

103

1 THE COURT: Just answer the question, then you can
2 explain. Do you want to repeat the question?

3 MR. HOGAN: Yeah, I'll repeat the question.

4 Q In May of 2003, you made changes to Mr. Berry's software, is
5 that correct, sir?

6 A May of 2003.

7 Q Correct.

8 A No, I don't recall making changes in May of 2003.

9 Q At some point, sir, didn't you testify that after the jury
10 trial, you went back and took a copy of Mr. Berry's software and
11 made changes to it?

12 A I removed a change I had made.

13 Q You removed a change. But that made a change, correct, sir?

14 A Mr. Berry, to my understanding, is that the proper
15 procedure, I got this from counsel I presume, it was something
16 that you --

17 MR. LIEBELER: I'd ask the witness to stop, I don't
18 want him to reveal anything that he found out from counsel.

19 THE WITNESS: Pardon.

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 MR. LIEBELER: Just as a privilege issue, Your Honor.
22 I don't want a privilege waiver unknowingly.

23 THE COURT: Fine.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay.

25 THE COURT: Don't reveal anything counsel told you or

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

104

1 you told counsel.

2 A We understood that it was -- I understood that the way to
3 respond to the jury's decision, which we wanted to respond to.
4 We admitted from the beginning that we had made those changes.
5 We made them inadvertently due to a misunderstanding. We thought
6 we had the right to make the changes. Jury said we didn't. We
7 wanted to go back -- we wanted to comply with the jury's
8 decision. We thought this was how to do it. This is fully in
9 correspondence with a will to be within the law and within -- and
10 to respect Mr. Berry's rights.

11 Q Did it ever occur to you to respect Mr. Berry's right, you
12 might call him up and ask him to make the changes?

13 A Ask him to remove what I had added?

14 Q Correct.

15 A No, it didn't occur to me.

16 Q And why is that?

17 A Well, Mr. Berry had been very hostile to us from the
18 beginning. And personally, I just didn't see him being very
19 cooperative, but it's hard to say. I think there were a lot of
20 things that went through my mind. I basically was doing what I
21 was told to do.

22 Q And who told you to do that?

23 A Counsel. I'm sorry, the counsel was telling me --

24 MR. LIEBELER: Objection, I don't want the -- again, I
25 don't want a privilege waiver so I'd ask that the cross-

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

105

1 examination exclude in the questioning what --

2 THE COURT: He didn't ask what counsel told him, so,
3 overrule. You've been instructed not to reveal what counsel told
4 you or what you told counsel --

5 MR. HOGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: -- in answering your -- any of the
7 questions.

8 Q Mr. Dillon, isn't it true, sir, that Access is currently
9 running in regard to the spreadsheets you purported to have
10 created?

11 A I don't understand the question.

12 Q Are you running Access at the C&S logistics department
13 currently, sir?

14 A It's part of Microsoft Office. We have Microsoft Office
15 install.

16 Q Correct. But isn't it true, sir, that in order to extract
17 and run queries out of the thing you created, you run Access
18 against it?

19 A We do run Access because -- I actually not to simply because
20 I knew you would ask this question and the implication is we had
21 something to do with Mr. Berry's former software which also
22 happened to be written in Microsoft Access. There's hardly any
23 connection, but I guess IT people don't know this. But -- pardon
24 me, but we've gone through this before, pardon me, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Just answer.

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

106

1 A The -- we -- we're not in -- I'm not in IT. I have hardly
2 any funds. So the only query engine that I had available to me
3 that would do -- create a query using more than one table and
4 allow outer joins was Microsoft Access. Otherwise, I'd have to
5 go pay \$1,000 or so which I do not have to buy a third-party
6 software to do this. So I use Microsoft Access to create queries
7 against the spreadsheets, yes, I do.

8 Q When -- I want to make sure I state it correctly. You had a
9 copy of Mr. Berry's software after the jury verdict and after the
10 filing of the bankruptcy that was a version that had some of your
11 changes in it. Is that a fair statement, sir?

12 A I'm sorry, could you repeat that?

13 Q Okay. We're coming up to the time when Fleming -- there was
14 a jury verdict in March 6th. Fleming files bankruptcy on April
15 1st. And you have to do something about changing the way they do
16 business with the software. Is that a fair statement?

17 A I don't understand.

18 Q Okay.

19 A What change do I need to make?

20 Q Well, I think you testified that you took a version of Mr.
21 Berry's software and removed a few of your changes?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Okay. And when do you think you did that?

24 A That would have been end of March 2003.

25 Q Okay. Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy?

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

107

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. And did you contact Mr. Berry to ask him if it was
3 okay to make these changes in his software?

4 A No, I did not.

5 Q Okay. So you made those changes and you came up with what
6 you considered to be the original version of Wayne Berry
7 software?

8 A Yes.

9 Q But it's a version that you created, correct?

10 A Oh, it's that -- I am trying to revert to the version he
11 created.

12 Q Right.

13 A That being the issue.

14 Q By making the changes.

15 A By removing the change, the feature I had added.

16 Q Okay. Now, at some point, you took that version of software
17 and did something to it so that you could populate your
18 spreadsheets. Is that a fair statement?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And what you did is you ran MS Query against it to do that?

21 A Yes, I did.

22 Q Okay. And that created the program that is now operating at
23 C&S?

24 A Created spreadsheets.

25 Q And that is the program that is operating at C&S?

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

108

1 A Yes. Microsoft Excel is the program. The documents are the
2 spreadsheets.

3 Q Okay.

4 A The program is Microsoft Excel. That was -- that's a
5 creation of Microsoft Corporation. We created some documents
6 within that application, the spreadsheets.

7 Q Now, Mr. Berry's database is written in Access, correct?

8 A That's right.

9 Q And what you've written is in Excel?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. Can you tell me or tell the Court what original work
12 went into your Excel spreadsheets that did not come directly from
13 Mr. Berry's Access database?

14 A All the data we input into his database.

15 Q So the data, not the structures, is the part that's the
16 original work?

17 A We imported the data that we had input into Mr. Berry's
18 database. We asked for it back and put it in the spreadsheets.

19 Q So isn't it true, sir, that what you did is you simply said
20 to the Access database, the one the jury had said that you had
21 infringed, it said take the table to Mr. Berry's Access database
22 and populate my spreadsheets with them? Isn't that essentially
23 what you did?

24 A No, we used -- we only used portions of Mr. Berry's
25 database. Out of about 70 tables, we used 11. And within the

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

109

1 two principle tables, we used on one about 45 percent of the
2 fields within that table and the other about 25 percent of the
3 fields. We're not importing his database and structures
4 wholesale.

5 Q Okay. Not wholesale, but at least retail, right, Mr.
6 Dillon? You took some of his work and incorporated it into the
7 thing that's running at C&S presently?

8 A I don't know why you say some of his work. We simply
9 requested the data we had input into it. It advertises its -- I
10 simply requested the data that we had input into his database so
11 we could use it in another application so as not to infringe on
12 Mr. Berry's rights.

13 Q But your answer to the question of what your original work
14 was, was there anything besides the data that you contributed to
15 this new software work?

16 MR. LIEBELER: Objection, asked and answered, Your
17 Honor.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 Q Question regarding how things work at Kapolei, which is the
20 C&S facility now. Do the employees have more than one computer
21 each?

22 A Yes, they do.

23 Q And why is that, sir?

24 A Because of the accusations in the previous lawsuit that we
25 were distributing Mr. Berry's database throughout Fleming

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

110

1 companies, which is not new. There was never a connection
2 between our network and the Flemings network. Several times it
3 was asserted by the plaintiff that there were -- was such a
4 connection. We even hired people to come in, experts in networks
5 and computer forensics, to come in and document the fact that
6 there was no connection. Since we had had to do that in the
7 past, once C&S took over operations in the logistics department,
8 we counseled them to -- I counseled them that given my
9 experience, we should not put our computers on their network. So
10 we retained -- the employees in the logistics department have two
11 computers at each of their desks, one on the C&S network and one
12 on the logistics network.

13 Q And is the reason for that because you're afraid that there
14 will be a claim of infringement that will transmit to the entire
15 C&S logistics world?

16 A I think that's the way I'd put it.

17 Q But, sir, if what you wrote was not infringing, why would
18 they care?

19 A Well, it's a -- I guess it's a matter of putting a fence,
20 having outer perimeters. It just simply was to eliminate -- it's
21 not -- I don't believe it's not. I don't believe that taking our
22 data out of Mr. Berry's database because there's a problem with
23 his database and we want to use some other -- I cannot conceive a
24 copyright law being -- intending us being so restricted. But I
25 don't know all the legal ins and outs, of the liabilities, our

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

111

1 vulnerabilities. I simply believe that it would simplify matters
2 due to my experience from the previous lawsuit if these
3 possibilities could not come up in court because we maintain
4 separate networks.

5 Q And wasn't that actually one of the reasons that you
6 actually changed some of the names of the fields when you created
7 the new data structures, I'll use that term, that you used
8 different terminologies so it wouldn't look like Wayne Berry's
9 software?

10 A It was to respect his copyright. He takes exception to our
11 using his names. So, I figured we should change the names
12 insofar as possible, which we did.

13 Q But when you did that, you had to be aware of what his name
14 was? Fair statement, sir?

15 A Well, his name is -- his database, Access database, is -- he
16 did not compile it. He created his Access database in such a way
17 that it advertises his contents to various third-party -- well,
18 Microsoft software on -- that's packaged with Windows and
19 Microsoft Office. And so within -- so when I go to request data
20 from Mr. Berry's database, it does advertise his contents and
21 included in that is the column names, yes.

22 Q Now, you said compile it. Just briefly, sir, what does that
23 mean?

24 A It means to -- it means to create a form of the -- in this
25 case, a form of the database in binary code that's not accessible

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

112

1 except by simply reversing -- where the source code is not
2 accessible.

3 Q Right. And the copy that Mr. Berry put on the computers in
4 October/November of '99 was not compiled, is that correct?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q And wasn't it true, sir, that Mr. Berry was, at least to
7 your knowledge, expecting to make changes to the database and be
8 paid for that during that time?

9 A I had no knowledge of that. We tried to get Mr. Berry to
10 make changes to the database for three to four months after
11 October 1st, 1999. We had four phone numbers, including his home
12 phone. We had at least three email addresses. He never
13 responded to anything. I never got a thing from him. In fact, I
14 thought he had lost interest in the whole thing. I've -- well,
15 leave it at that.

16 Q When C&S came into the -- when did C&S come into the
17 facilities in Kapolei? To the best of your recollection?

18 A When did they come in?

19 Q Yeah. When did you first see somebody from C&S on the
20 property?

21 A I'm not sure.

22 Q Okay.

23 A Sometime in, I would -- sometime in 2003. I don't remember
24 if it was before June or after June.

25 Q When they came in, did they have any backup requirements for

Dillon - Cross/Hogan

113

1 the IT personnel? Computer backups?

2 A Backup of data or backup of --

3 Q Right. I mean there's a big --

4 A Functions or --

5 Q I'm sorry, sir. There's a big operation there. They want
6 to protect their data in case there's a hurricane or something.

7 Was there any form you had to fill out that said I backed up my
8 data on this date or anything like that?

9 A No.

10 Q No procedures to your knowledge at all about backing it up?

11 A I'm not in their IT department. So I'm not informed about
12 those procedures.

13 Q But are you saying that noone from IT, from C&S has ever
14 accessed these second sets of computers at the Kapolei facility
15 to the best of your knowledge?

16 A The second set meaning?

17 Q The ones with your software on it?

18 A Not that I know of.

19 Q Is there any -- have you been told any reason why they
20 wouldn't access them?

21 A No.

22 Q Have you ever been told by anyone, sir, that, in fact,
23 Fleming was operating after the bankruptcy without a license for
24 Mr. Berry's software?

25 A No. I understood we did have a license.

Dillon - Redirect/Liebeler

114

1 Q And how do you understand that, sir?

2 A From the jury verdict.

3 Q All right. From anyone else, anything else other than the
4 jury verdict?

5 MR. LIEBELER: I take it that accepts statements that
6 his counsel may or may not have made?

7 MR. HOGAN: Your Honor, if counsel tells a fact, that's
8 generally not privileged.

9 THE COURT: I'm not sure that's a fact or a legal
10 conclusion.

11 MR. HOGAN: I agree with that, Your Honor, but --

12 THE COURT: So I'll sustain the objection. Other than
13 counsel.

14 Q Other than counsel.

15 A No.

16 Q But it was your understanding that you were using licensed
17 software after the filing of the bankruptcy?

18 A Definitely.

19 MR. HOGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Redirect.

21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. LIEBELER:

23 Q Mr. Dillon, turn to 309 in the book, if you please. That's
24 the jury verdict. And on page 2 of 309, it reads: "If Fleming
25 Companies prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it has a

Dillon - Redirect/Liebeler

115

1 valid license for use of the freight control software," and it's
2 checked yes. Do you see that?

3 A Yes, I do.

4 Q Is that in part the basis for your understanding that after
5 the jury verdict Fleming had a license to use the software in its
6 original form?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And when you went back after the jury verdict came down and
9 made the change you discussed, what was your intent?

10 A To comply with the jury's decision.

11 Q And after you made your change, what form was the software
12 in?

13 A In it's original form as licensed by Mr. Berry.

14 Q Now, when you created your spreadsheets, sir, did you take
15 Mr. Berry's work on the one hand, or on the other, did you simply
16 input the underlying data into your creation?

17 MR. HOGAN: Objection, compound.

18 THE COURT: Overrule.

19 A I simply requested data from his database.

20 Q And then did what with that data?

21 A I pulled it in the spreadsheet.

22 Q And who created that spreadsheet, sir, you on the one hand
23 or Mr. Berry on the other?

24 A I did.

25 Q Is that spreadsheet include any part of Mr. Berry's work?

Dillon - Redirect/Liebeler

116

1 A No.

2 Q And, sir, do you view what's currently on the system as a
3 modification of Mr. Berry's software?

4 A No, I don't.

5 Q Now, Mr. Hogan asked you some questions about why it is that
6 you did or didn't try to contact Mr. Berry in order to have him
7 make modifications. Do you recall those questions?

8 A Yes, I do.

9 Q All right. Take a look at Berry Exhibit 1 which Mr. Hogan
10 gave you. And let me direct your attention to the first page of
11 that in the fifth paragraph. And that's an email that you wrote,
12 correct, sir?

13 A That's right.

14 Q And in that paragraph, you've written "he", referring to Mr.
15 Berry, would likely continue what he has done from the start
16 which is to entrap Fleming and seek to extort monies from the
17 company. It's your language, sir?

18 A I'm sorry, am I looking at the right document here?

19 Q Sure. It starts Option 2 on the first page of Berry 1.

20 A Oh, okay.

21 Q In the third and fourth lines, beginning with he would
22 likely.

23 A Yes.

24 Q Why was it, sir, that you didn't call Mr. Berry and ask him
25 to either make modifications or assist you in so doing so?

Dillon - Recross/Hogan

117

1 A You know, Mr. Berry is very hostile with Fleming. There's a
2 long history. I have a lot of -- there were many conversations I
3 had with Mr. Berry. Or at least several conversations I had with
4 Mr. Berry where his hostility was evident. And he had a personal
5 vendetta. It just seemed unwise to have anything more to do with
6 Mr. Berry. Also, he was a very litigious person. He had been in
7 numerous lawsuits previously and he had spoken to me about those
8 lawsuits. And it just seemed like we would get -- more of the
9 same would transpire if we were to continue and develop a
10 licensing relationship with Mr. Berry.

11 MR. LIEBELER: Nothing further at this time, Your
12 Honor.

13 MR. HOGAN: With your permission, Your Honor, I'd like
14 the witness to look at that. The Debtor's 304.

15 RECROSS EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. HOGAN:

17 Q It purports to be a memorandum, first page, November 24,
18 1999.

19 A Yes, I see it.

20 Q Okay. If you go to the back of the addendum which is page 4
21 of 5 to the end user license agreement.

22 MR. LIEBELER: Your Honor, this is outside the scope of
23 redirect.

24 MR. HOGAN: Your Honor, he has opened the door to Mr.
25 Berry's vendetta. I think I'm allowed to --

1 THE COURT: All right. I'll allow. I'll allow some
2 leeway.

3 Q Do you see that, Mr. Dillon, the end user addendum?

4 A Page 4 of 5, yes.

5 Q If you look at the first paragraph, sir.

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you see it?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Isn't it true, sir, that what Mr. Berry got out of the deal
10 with Fleming was for you to get a job for one year?

11 MR. LIEBELER: Objection, argumentative, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Overrule.

13 A Among other things, yes.

14 Q Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Any redirect?

16 MR. LIEBELER: No, thanks.

17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step down.

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

19 (Witness excused)

20 MR. LIEBELER: Your Honor, may I have a moment to
21 confer with other counsel?

22 THE COURT: About what?

23 MR. LIEBELER: The next witness we're going to do, we
24 want -- I want to talk about the next witness.

25 THE COURT: Well, you'll have more than a minute. I'm

1 going to break for lunch.

2 MR. LIEBELER: Works for me, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right. Let's come back at 1:30.

4 (Luncheon recess)

5 THE CLERK: Please rise. You may be seated.

6 THE COURT: You may proceed.

7 MR. LIEBELER: Thank you, Your Honor. We would like to
8 proceed by playing the deposition designations from a gentleman
9 for Mr. Anderson.

10 THE COURT: Anderson. All right.

11 MR. HOGAN: Your Honor, I object. We were told --
12 earlier on, I tried to do things that would have live witnesses.
13 They objected to that, Your Honor. So at least I'm going to make
14 an objection to anything but live witness testimony today, Your
15 Honor.

16 MR. LIEBELER: We simply indicated that that trial has
17 to conform with the rules of trial under the federal rules. We
18 took Mr. Anderson's deposition. Mr. Hogan was there. The man
19 lives in Portland, Oregon. He's not available. He's a third-
20 party witness. We're committed to play his designations.

21 THE COURT: I think that's --

22 MR. LIEBELER: By the way, on the designations
23 themselves, we gave those to Mr. Hogan, I believe it was a week
24 ago. We haven't gotten counter-designations and he sent us no
25 objection.